The immune system’s involvement in obesity -driven type 2 diabetes
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Abstract:

Type 2 diabetes is now a worldwide epidemic, strongly correlated with an elevated incidence of obesity. Obesity-associated adipose tissue inflammation is a major cause of the decreased insulin sensitivity seen in type 2 diabetes. Recent studies have shed light on the crosstalk between the immune system and organismal metabolism. This review discusses the connection between inflammation in adipose tissue and systemic insulin resistance, focusing on the roles of innate and adaptive immune cell subsets in the pathogenesis of this metabolic disease.
1. Introduction

Type 2 diabetes is a global health problem characterized by a defect in insulin secretion and/or a decrease in sensitivity to insulin, also termed insulin resistance. The result is an increase in blood glucose levels as adipocytes and muscle cells are compromised in their glucose uptake, while hepatocytes continue to produce glucose. Type 2 diabetes is strongly associated with obesity, currently a worldwide epidemic [1, 2]. The association between these conditions is thought to reflect chronic, low-grade inflammation driven by long-term nutrient excess. Hypothesized mechanisms promoting inflammation include: oxidative stress, endoplasmic reticulum stress, hypoxia, amyloid and lipid deposition, lipotoxicity and glucotoxicity.

We will summarize what is currently known about the role of the immune system in the pathogenesis of obesity-induced metabolic disease. We will start by providing evidence for a connection between inflammation in adipose tissue and systemic insulin resistance. Then, we will explore the role of innate immune system cells (e.g. macrophages, mast cells, eosinophils) in insulin resistance, addressing whether there might be an external stimulus by which these cells sense the local environment. We will end by investigating the role of different adaptive immune system cells (e.g. CD8+ T cells, CD4+ Th1 cells, CD4+ Treg cells, B cells, NKT cells) in insulin resistance and the possible interplay between them.

2. Obesity induces chronic inflammation in adipose tissue

Adipose tissue was traditionally considered to be a long-term energy-storage organ, but now it is known to also have a key role in the integration of systemic metabolism. Systemic inflammation and obesity-induced insulin resistance are initiated largely in the adipose tissue, which releases cytokines, adipokines and fatty acids; downstream effects on the liver and muscle
ensue. Mice with adipocyte-specific knockout or transgenesis of certain genes have given insight into the role adipocytes play in systemic insulin resistance. JUN N-terminal kinase 1 (JNK1) deficiency in adipocytes suppressed high-fat diet (HFD)-induced insulin resistance in the liver due to JNK1-dependent secretion of interleukin-6 (IL-6) [3]. Transgenic mice expressing a dominant-negative cAMP Response Element Binding protein (CREB) in adipocytes displayed increased whole-body insulin sensitivity upon diet-induced and genetic obesity due to increased adiponectin secretion and glucose transporter 4 (GLUT4) expression [4]. Similarly, adipocyte-specific deletion of GLUT4 or overexpression of monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) resulted in systemic insulin resistance. Adipose tissue is a key site for engendering systemic insulin resistance as analogous genetic manipulations specifically targeting the liver [5] or muscle [6] showed tissue-autonomous phenotypes.

Adipose tissue is composed of different types of fat. Visceral adipose tissue (VAT, e.g. omental or epididymal fat) was found to have a greater effect on insulin sensitivity, higher percentage of large adipocytes, and greater numbers of inflammatory cells when compared with subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT) [7, 8]. HFD-feeding increased the number of adipocyte precursor cells in both VAT and SAT by as much as 270% [9]. Adipocyte precursor cells isolated from the VAT and SAT had distinct patterns of gene expression, differentiation potential, and response to environmental and genetic influences. Another difference between depots following HFD was that the number of non-adipocyte precursor cells in VAT increased dramatically after 2-4 weeks on HFD; in contrast this number remained constant in SAT [9]. Therefore, not all fat is functionally equivalent; most of the studies referenced in this review have conducted their experiments using VAT.
Hotamisligil et al made an early connection between obesity, inflammation and insulin resistance [10, 11], reporting an induction of tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, a proinflammatory cytokine, in adipose tissue from four rodent models of obesity and diabetes, and demonstrating that neutralization of TNF-α in obese fa/fa rats ameliorated insulin resistance. Furthermore, mice deficient in TNF-α had significantly improved insulin sensitivity in both diet-induced obesity and the Lep<sup>ob/ob</sup> model of obesity [12]. Since this finding, follow-up studies have shown that inflammatory signals disrupt insulin action and mediate insulin resistance in obesity. Insulin stimulates tyrosine phosphorylation of insulin receptor substrate (IRS) proteins. IRS-modifying enzymes, such as inflammation- and stress-induced kinases Iκβ kinase-β (IKKβ) and JNK, phosphorylate IRS-1 at serine residues, resulting in the inability of IRS-1 to engage in insulin-receptor signaling. Bone-marrow chimeras and selective genetic ablation procedures showed that the IKKβ-NF-κB and JNK signaling pathways account for most of the local production of pro-inflammatory cytokines in the adipose tissue, liver and muscle [3, 5, 13-16]. Similar to the case of rodents, in obese individuals excess adipose mass has been associated with increased levels of the pro-inflammatory marker C-reactive protein (CRP) in the blood [17]. Increased levels of CRP, its inducer IL-6, and IL-1β were predictive of the development of type 2 diabetes in various populations [17]. In both murine models and humans, it is evident that obesity triggers the accumulation of immune cells, particularly macrophages, in VAT, resulting in local inflammation and ultimately systemic insulin resistance.

3. Innate immune system cells in obesity-induced insulin resistance

3.1 Adipose-tissue macrophages:
A key function of macrophages is to remove apoptotic cells in an immunologically silent manner to prevent the release of noxious substances. Metabolic dysfunction in obese individuals has been correlated with the presence of histological features in inflamed adipose tissue called crown-like structures, which represent an accumulation of macrophages around dead adipocytes [18, 19]. These adipose-tissue macrophages (ATMs) can comprise nearly 40% of the cells in obese adipose tissue [20, 21]. As macrophages are also potent producers of inflammatory mediators, they drive the heightened inflammatory responses seen in obesity.

Macrophages have been segregated into two broad groups: M1s induced in vitro with granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) secrete proinflammatory cytokines; M2s induced in vitro with macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF) and IL-4 secrete anti-inflammatory cytokines. M2 macrophages have been associated with the repair of injured tissues and the resolution of inflammation [22]. In lean mice, M2-like macrophages are the predominant resident ATMs. In the expanding adipose tissue of obesity, the local population shifts from M2-like macrophages to M1-like ATMs that express CD11c and are characterized by the accumulation of lipids. Greater than 90% of these M1-like cells originate from recruited monocytes that become CD11c⁺ [23, 24]. Conditional ablation of CD11c⁺ cells in mice with diet-induced obesity significantly reduced adipose tissue and skeletal muscle macrophages, decreased proinflammatory cytokine levels, and increased insulin sensitivity [25]. However, it was not clear from this study whether the resulting increase in insulin sensitivity was due to the loss of ATMs or the loss of muscle CD11c⁺ macrophages.

Lumeng et al. have proposed a “phenotype switch” model in which obesity promotes the recruitment of M1-like ATMs that shift the noninflammatory milieu maintained by M2-like resident ATMs toward a proinflammatory state [26-28]. On the contrary, human ATMs display
mixed M1/M2 remodeling phenotypes [29, 30]. In line with the human data, a recent study transcriptionally characterized CD11c+ ATMs in obese mice and concluded that insulin resistance is coincident with a mixed M1/M2 phenotype [31]. ATMs respond to the local environment by polarizing accordingly; thus, in vivo the macrophage populations are much more dynamic and varied and do not fit into a stringent M1 or M2 classification.

Lipodystrophy, a condition with reduced adipose tissue mass was accompanied by metabolic outcomes similar to those seen in obesity: overt adipose tissue inflammation, insulin resistance, hepatic steatosis, and dyslipidemia. Similar to ATMs in obesity, ATMs in lipodystrophy also had a mixed M1/M2 phenotype [32]. However, the ATMs isolated from obese adipose tissue and those from lipodystrophic adipose tissue had different surface marker expression, gene expression, and responded differently to potent stimuli. It is important to keep in mind that controversy remains over the ‘promiscuous’ markers (e.g. MHC class II, CD11c, F4/80) used to distinguish between macrophages and classical dendritic cells (cDCs). Recently, a molecular signature shared by lymphoid-tissue CD8+ cDCs and CD8+ cDCs and nonlymphoid-tissue CD103+ cDCs and absent from tissue macrophages was identified [33]. Gene expression profiling of adipose-tissue dendritic cells and macrophages will enable us to better define the two populations. It remains possible that what we have been calling ATMs might just as well be dendritic cells.

3.2 Do ATMs play a role in obesity-induced systemic insulin resistance?

Genetic studies using knockout, transgenic, and bone marrow chimera techniques to disable or enhance inflammatory pathways have provided insight on the role of ATMs in obesity-induced systemic insulin resistance. The most common system used is based on the LysM-Cre mice. The Cre recombinase is expressed under the control of a myeloid-cell-specific
promoter (LysM). The recombinase catalyzes the recombination, usually deletion, of the gene flanked by LoxP sites. Roughly 80-90% of macrophages and neutrophils have the desired deletion. Knockout of IKKβ [5], the insulin receptor [34], or fatty acid-binding protein 4 and 5 (FABP4, FABP5) [35] in LysM-expressing cells protected mice from HFD-induced glucose intolerance, hyperinsulinemia, and insulin resistance. Decreases in inflammatory mediators (IL-1, IL-6, TNF-α) were observed, as well as a reduction in ATMs. These results suggested a role for ATMs in insulin resistance; however, a role for other LysM-expressing cell populations (e.g. neutrophils) or a role for another cell population affected by the genetically altered ATMs was not ruled out.

Bone-marrow chimera strategies have provided strong evidence supporting the involvement of bone-marrow-derived cells in insulin sensitivity. Saturated fatty acids such as oleate and palmitate can induce adipose-tissue inflammation through the induction of cells expressing Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4). Mice lacking TLR4 were substantially protected from inflammation and insulin resistance induced by lipid infusion [36]. Similarly, bone-marrow-chimera studies using Tlr4-/- donors demonstrated that TLR4 signaling in hematopoietic cells is important for the development of hepatic and adipose-tissue insulin resistance in obese mice [37]. Protection from obesity-induced insulin resistance was seen when hematopoietic cells with the genetic manipulation of molecules involved in macrophage migration, CXCR2 [38], or an alpha4 integrin (Y991A) mutation [39] were transplanted. A decrease in macrophage infiltration into adipose tissue was observed. The molecules mentioned above play a role in influencing ATMs to instigate systemic insulin resistance; however, it is also possible that these molecules are required to bring in other critical players.
Bone-marrow-specific deletion of the *Cap* gene (which encodes a member of the PI3K-independent pathway linked to GLUT4 translocation) protected against HFD-induced insulin resistance [40]. A decrease in ATMs and lymphocytes was noted. Add-back experiments where respective wild-type cell types were transferred would have provided more insight into the sequence of inflammatory events. Other studies using adoptive transfer have found the perturbed gene to exacerbate insulin resistance (e.g. kruppel-like factor 4 [41], G protein-coupled-receptor 120 [42]), to have no effect on insulin resistance (IL10 [43]), or to have a function in adipocytes rather than in hematopoietic cells (PKC-ζ [44]). Bone-marrow-chimera experiments have the advantage of narrowing down the pathways involved in insulin resistance to the hematopoietic compartment.

Although most studies have investigated obesity-induced insulin resistance by focusing on either hematopoietic cells or adipocytes, some recent papers have taken these observations one step further by demonstrating that there is important cross-talk between ATMs and adipocytes. Deletion of FABP4 and FABP5 in adipocytes results in reduced expression of inflammatory cytokines in macrophages as well as improved insulin sensitivity [35]. Neither macrophages alone nor adipocytes alone could account for the entire impact of FABPs on systemic insulin resistance, so it is likely that interactions between the two cell types drive the local inflammation.

Calorie restriction in HFD-fed mice resulted in adipose lipolysis, which was associated with rapid accumulation of ATMs [44]. It appears that these macrophages were recruited to take up lipids to control local lipid concentrations. On a different note, the apoptosis inhibitor of macrophage (AIM) protein produced by macrophages was incorporated into adipocytes, thereby stimulating lipolysis [45, 46]. Obese AIM-/- mice had diminished macrophage infiltration and
were protected from insulin resistance [47]. In conclusion, this crosstalk between macrophages and adipocytes accelerated inflammation, as saturated fatty acids from lipolysis activate TLR4, which induces TNF-α and downstream cytokines, adipokines and chemokines.

Peroxisome proliferator–activated receptor (PPAR)-γ and -δ are ligand-dependent transcription factors that suppress pro-inflammatory genes in macrophages and induce M2s [48]. Mice with a deletion of PPAR-γ in LysM-expressing cells have impaired differentiation of M2 macrophages and derepression of proinflammatory macrophage pathways, thus displaying more severe insulin resistance compared with that of controls [28, 49]. These results established that M2s had a protective role in obesity-induced insulin resistance; however they did not clearly show that M1-like ATMs were the cause of insulin resistance as it is possible that M2s may have been suppressing other cell types. Similarly, deletion of PPAR-δ in LysM-expressing cells exacerbated obesity-induced insulin resistance. These mice had increased body mass, but no difference was observed in ATM numbers [50]. This study demonstrated the complexity of obesity-induced insulin resistance, challenging the simple view of ATMs being the source of inflammatory mediators causing systemic insulin resistance.

A cohesive picture of the functional consequences of macrophage infiltration into adipose tissue during obesity has not yet emerged as there are still gaps and variations in the different genetic models. Furthermore, there appears to be an intricate crosstalk between macrophages and adipocytes that warrants additional studies in order for us to begin to understand the impact of this interaction on systemic insulin resistance. As type 2 diabetes is a multi-organ disease, some of these genetic modifications have impacted hepatic insulin resistance [51] or muscle insulin resistance [25], so it is important to keep in mind not only what occurs in the adipose tissue but also effects in the liver and muscle.
3.3 Role of innate immunity in the sensing of metabolic imbalance:

As discussed, there is evidence suggesting that macrophages are involved in obesity-induced insulin resistance, but the fundamental question of the mechanism of their recruitment to the adipose tissue remains. Pattern recognition receptors are well known metabolic sensors on innate immune cells. The Nod-like receptor family (NLR) has been shown to sense obesity-induced signals. They are classically thought to be activated by danger signals from dying and stressed cells. In macrophages, NLR recognition of these nonmicrobe-originated 'danger signals’ stimulates the cryptopyrin/NLRP3 inflammasome, leads to caspase-1 activation and results in subsequent IL-1β and IL-18 production. Nlrp3−/− animals were protected from insulin resistance, and had more metabolically active fat cells and a higher fat oxidation rate compared with those of wild-type animals [52]. Similarly, caspase-1-deficient mice displayed enhanced insulin sensitivity [53]. In ATMs, the NLRP3 inflammasomes sensed lipotoxicity-associated increases in intracellular ceramide from fatty acids to induce caspase-1 autoactivation and IL-1β and IL-18 production [53]. Palmitate is one of the most abundant saturated fatty acids in plasma and was substantially elevated following HFD [54]. Palmitate induced the activation of the NLRP3-adaptor apoptotic speck protein (ASC) inflammasome, resulting in caspase-1, IL-1β and IL-18 production in hematopoietic cells, which impaired insulin signaling and led to insulin resistance [55]. Another obesity-related factor, islet amyloid polypeptide (IAPP), also triggered NLRP3 inflammasome activation and potentiated type 2 diabetes [56]. IAPP is secreted by beta-cells at the same time as insulin, and forms amyloid deposits in the pancreas, which is speculated to exacerbate disease severity. Leading candidate activators of the NLRP3 inflammasome in adipose tissue include: oxidized low-density lipoprotein and cholesterol crystals [57, 58], monosodium urate [59], or products from hypoxia [60] and adipocyte death [61].
Another family of sensors is the TLR family, molecules expressed by innate immune system cells and adipocytes. TLR4 is activated by free fatty acids to generate proinflammatory signals and NF-κB activation, which happens in both leukocytes and non-hematopoietic cells. Knock-out mice were protected from insulin resistance induced by diet and lipid infusion [36, 37]. Besides responding to fatty acids, TLRs sense gut microbes in a way that contributes to metabolism, as TLR2-deficient mice were protected from diet-induced insulin resistance under germ-free conditions, and succumbed to subclinical inflammation, insulin resistance, glucose intolerance and obesity in a non-germ-free facility. Furthermore, this sequence of events could be induced in wild-type mice by microbiota transplantation which, in turn, was reversible when these individuals were treated with antibiotics. The authors hypothesized that the microbiota in TLR2-deficient mice induced changes in gut permeability, leading to an increase in serum lipopolysaccharide (LPS) levels, which correlated with insulin resistance [62, 63]. In these whole-body gene knock-out models, it is difficult to determine which cell type and at what location the critical process was occurring. These papers introduced not only a new site of action (the gut) but also new players (gut microbiota) to increase the level of complexity of obesity-induced systemic insulin resistance.

3.4 Other innate immune cells:

Other innate immune system cells have also been suggested to participate in obesity-induced insulin resistance. Mast cells are present in higher numbers in the adipose tissue of obese mice and humans compared with their lean counterparts. Mast cells have been suggested to contribute to glucose intolerance in adipose tissue and muscle in experiments using mast cell-deficient Kitmutant mice or pharmacological stabilization of mast cells [64]. It is important to note that mast cell-deficient mice on HFD gained significantly less body and visceral-fat weight
than wild-type controls, which raises the question of whether enhanced insulin sensitivity was a result of reduced adiposity and, thereby, reduced inflammation only secondarily. In addition, there continues to be controversy over the fidelity of the mast cell-deficient models used in these studies [65].

Eosinophils in VAT produce IL-4 and IL-13, cytokines that promote differentiation of ATM preferentially into M2 cells. Mice genetically deficient for eosinophils had increased adiposity and insulin resistance when placed on a HFD [66]. Wu et al suggested that in lean mice eosinophils control adipose tissue inflammation and promote insulin sensitivity. Similar to the argument for mast cells, the fact that eosinophil-deficient mice gained more weight on HFD than controls clouds the issue of whether increased adiposity or inflammation was the primary drive of enhanced insulin resistance.

4. Adaptive immune system cells in obesity induced T2D

The involvement of the innate system, particularly macrophages, in obesity-induced inflammation has been the central focus of the field for many years. Recently, however, several reports implicating the adaptive immune system have emerged. One of the early clues came from data showing a correlation between body mass index (BMI) and CD3 transcript levels in human VAT [67]. BMI also correlated with transcripts encoding the chemokine RANTES, known to recruit leukocytes to sites of inflammation [67, 68] A set of recent papers has shown that T cells in adipose tissues play a role in the regulation of ATM numbers and activation state. In general, among the T lymphocytes, it appears that CD8\(^+\) T cells [69, 70] and CD4\(^+\) T helper 1 (T\(_{H1}\)) cells
[71] promote insulin resistance; to the contrary, CD4\(^+\) regulatory T (T\(_\text{reg}\)) cells counter it. A role for T\(_\text{H}2\) cells has been suggested [71], but remains in question [72].

CD8\(^+\) T cells in obese VAT have an activated phenotype, as the frequency of CD44\(^+\)CD62L\(^-\) effector-memory cells was significantly higher, while naïve CD44\(^-\)CD62L\(^+\)CD8\(^+\) T cells was decreased in obese compared with lean VAT [73]. CD8\(^+\) effector T cells were shown to be involved in the recruitment and activation of ATMs and promoted a pro-inflammatory cascade associated with insulin resistance using genetic modifications and transfer experiments[69]. Depletion of CD8\(^+\) T cells resulted in reduced macrophage infiltration into VAT, decreased production of pro-inflammatory mediators, and increased insulin sensitivity. Contrary to studies stating that macrophages are the first cells to infiltrate the VAT, this report argued that infiltration of CD8\(^+\) T cells at 4 weeks of HFD preceded the accumulation of macrophages. These findings suggested that obesity promoted the activation of CD8\(^+\) T cells, which led to the recruitment, differentiation and activation of ATMs. Another group performed similar kinetic studies but did not find significant T-cell enrichment in VAT during the first 4 months of HFD feeding [74]. The temporal pattern of accumulation of different lymphoid cell populations in adipose tissue during the development of obesity is still not fully understood. Contradictory results may reflect: (1) methods of quantification of T cells by flow cytometry, (2) the age of mice at initiation of HFD-feeding, (3) the length of HFD-feeding, and (4) the precise composition of the HFD and normal chow used.

CD4\(^+\) T cells can be divided into several distinct populations, including T\(_\text{H}1\) and T\(_\text{H}17\) cells, which produce proinflammatory cytokines, and T\(_\text{H}2\) cells and regulatory T cells (T\(_\text{reg}\)), which produce anti-inflammatory cytokines. An increase in IFN\(\gamma\)-producing adipose-tissue-resident effector CD4\(^+\) T cells in obese mice [75] and humans [76] has been reported. In mice, an
increase in IFNγ enhanced the accumulation of M1 macrophages in obese fat, accompanied by elevated expression of TNFα and MCP-1 [75]. No role for T_{H\,17} cells or their major product, IL-17, has so far been clearly demonstrated.

The roles of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells in obesity-induced insulin resistance was addressed by transfer into recombination-activating genes (RAG)-null mice, which lack all lymphocytes. CD4⁺, but not CD8⁺, T cells dampened the weight gain, VAT mass, high glucose, and elevated TNFα and IL-6 induced by HFD [71]. Winer et al proposed that the improved metabolic phenotype was primarily due to the activities of T_{H\,2} cells. However, the reduction in weight gain could have been at least partially due to the initiation of colitis, as there are well-established animal models of colitis where disease is induced by the transfer of CD4⁺ T cell depleted of Foxp3⁺ T_{reg} cells [77, 78]. An alternative interpretation of Winer et al’s observation is that improved insulin resistance may merely be a result of decreased body weight caused by colitis. The authors also suggested that the protective effect of CD4⁺ T cells reflected polarization toward the T_{H\,2} phenotype as defined by the production of IL-4 and IL-13, and a decreased frequency of Gata3⁺ T cells in the VAT of obese mice. However, VAT T_{reg} express enhanced levels of Gata3 [79], so Gata3⁺CD4⁺ T cells cannot be equated to T_{H\,2} cells.

T_{reg} cells have an important role in the maintenance of self-tolerance and the suppression of autoreactive T cells, thus the prevention of autoimmunity [80]. VAT T_{reg} cells were abundant (>50% of the CD4⁺ T cell compartment) in lean mice, and protected them from insulin resistance by inhibiting inflammatory macrophages and probably also by dampening production of proinflammatory cytokines by adipocytes [70]. VAT T_{reg} cell number decreased dramatically upon development of obesity by an as-yet unknown mechanism. Induction of T_{reg} cells in obese mice improved insulin sensitivity, and reduced macrophage numbers and TNFα levels [70, 81].
Gene expression profiling of fat T\textsubscript{reg} cells from lean mice revealed that this Foxp3\textsuperscript{+}CD4\textsuperscript{+} population had only about 60\% of the signature of Foxp3\textsuperscript{+}CD4\textsuperscript{+} T\textsubscript{reg} cells typical of lymphoid organs [70]. A number of the genes that were over- or under-expressed coded for molecules involved in leukocyte migration and extravasation. Moreover, the TCR repertoire of fat T\textsubscript{reg} cells from lean mice was distinct from that of VAT T-conventional (T\textsubscript{conv}) cells, as well as that of both T cell subsets in lymphoid tissues. Strikingly, there were several examples of VAT T\textsubscript{reg} cells with the same protein sequence and different nucleotide sequences in the CDR3 region important for recognizing MHC-peptide complexes. These data suggest that (1) fat T\textsubscript{reg} cells are unlikely to have converted from T\textsubscript{conv} cells, and (2) there may be a selective pressure favoring certain TCRs with a particular antigenic specificity. TCR repertoire bias has also been observed for CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells and CD4\textsuperscript{+} T\textsubscript{H}1 cells isolated from VAT of obese mice [69, 70, 73].

VAT Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} T\textsubscript{regs} specifically express PPAR\textgamma, which appears to interact with Foxp3. Recently, Cipolletta et al. showed that mice lacking PPAR\textgamma specifically in T\textsubscript{reg} cells displayed reduced T\textsubscript{reg} numbers and increased macrophage and monocyte numbers in VAT [72]. Interestingly, PPAR\textgamma stimulation by the thiazolidinedione drug pioglitazone (Pio) increased T\textsubscript{reg} cell numbers in VAT of HFD obese mice, leading to improved insulin sensitivity. Thiazolidinediones play a role in suppressing VAT inflammation by increasing PPAR\textgamma-expressing T\textsubscript{regs} in VAT.

B cells accumulated in the visceral fat of obese mice after 3 weeks of feeding on HFD [82]. Feeding B-cell-deficient mice on HFD or treating wild-type HFD-fed mice with B-cell-depleting anti-CD20 antibody protected them from insulin resistance and glucose intolerance. B cell production of IgG antibodies activated proinflammatory macrophages and T cells. The transfer of B cells or serum IgG from HFD-fed mice into B-cell-deficient recipients resulted in
the transfer of insulin resistance. However, it remains possible that these IgGs induced adipocyte death or inhibited insulin signaling molecules, thus having nothing to do with initiation of the inflammatory cascade of events in the VAT, as it has been documented that there are T-cell aberrancies in B-cell deficient mice [83-85].

Natural killer T (NKT) cells recognize lipid antigens in the context of CD1d molecules on antigen presenting cells [86]. Among the different types of NKT cells, type-1 or invariant NKT are the most abundant and best characterized [87]. Type-1 NKT cells have been extensively studied using a prototypical lipid antigen: the marine sponge-derived α-galactosylceramide (α-GalCer), which is not found in mammals [88]. NKT cells were reported to infiltrate into the VAT in association with ATMs during the development of HFD-induced insulin resistance [89]. The activation of NKT cells with α-GalCer exacerbated glucose intolerance and VAT inflammation. Furthermore, CD1d-deficient mice had less inflammation in VAT and liver coupled with ameliorated insulin resistance [90]. However, in this study the CD1d-deficient mice gained less weight, which may be attributed to the metabolic improvements instead of the lack of NKT cells. In contrast, two other studies reported no effect on HFD-induced glucose intolerance and insulin resistance in CD1d-deficient mice [91, 92]. Following up on the reports using CD1d-deficient mice, a recent study involved injecting α-GalCer and found that activation of NKT cells enhanced M2-like macrophage polarization, which enhanced insulin sensitivity [93]. VAT-resident type 1 NKT cell numbers were negatively correlated with BMI, insulin resistance, and fasting glucose levels in humans. In conclusion, this study corroborated the previous two reports where no metabolic effect was seen in CD1d-deficient mice, which indicated that NKT cells in the absence of stimulation are dispensible for glucose homeostasis upon chronic obesity. In the gain-of-function experiments where a strong agonist was provided,
NKT cells significantly impacted the inflammatory responses in VAT of obese mice. It is possible there is a mammalian α-GalCer-like agonist at steady-state in lean VAT that promotes insulin sensitivity by activating NKT cells, which in turn promotes M2-like cells. However, the evidence provided suggests that the role of NKT cells in obesity-induced insulin resistance is minimal as overt obesity was able to trump the protective role of these NKT cells.

5. Concluding remarks and future prospects

To this date, the most convincing studies shedding light on the etiology of obesity-induced insulin resistance appear to be those on ATMs, CD8⁺ T cells and T_{reg} cells. The combination of reduced T_{reg} cells in VAT coupled with an increase in CD8⁺ T cells to promote macrophage recruitment during obesity-induced inflammation might provide a positive feedback loop that ultimately progresses to insulin resistance. Alternatively, the macrophages could be recruiting the T cells. As the field uncovers answers, more questions arise. What is the order in which the different immune cell populations infiltrate the VAT? What chemokines and chemokine receptors are necessary for the homing of cells into the VAT? Is there crosstalk between different cell populations (including adipocytes), and what is the mechanism? What is the function of these cell populations that reside in or infiltrate the VAT? What instigates the shift from an anti-inflammatory environment in VAT to a pro-inflammatory environment? Do CD8⁺ T and T_{reg} cells respond to an antigen(s); if so, what is it?

Therapeutic possibilities with our current knowledge can be directed to either suppress the culprits causing VAT inflammation or enhance the inhibitory capability of the anti-inflammatory arm (e.g. T_{regs}). Specific approaches to shift the local population to more M2-like ATMs can be devised as we begin to understand the processes that shape the polarization of
proinflammatory ATMs. Alternatively, selectivity can be achieved by inhibiting monocyte chemotaxis, as these are the predominant cell types that migrate into VAT and polarize into proinflammatory ATMs. The other culprit, CD8\(^+\) T cells can be curbed by inhibiting mechanisms that CD8\(^+\) T cells use to recruit and promote differentiation of ATMs in VAT. Vaccination strategies can also be devised if research shows that there is an endogenous stimulus that activates CD8\(^+\) T cells causing it to be pathogenic. Another therapeutic path is the enhancement of T\(_{\text{reg}}\) cell numbers and function using cell-specific drugs (similar to Pio). Furthermore, finding T\(_{\text{reg}}\) effector molecules and utilizing it for therapy is a promising approach. Proper manipulation of immune cell subsets present in adipose tissue can decrease local inflammation and obesity-induced insulin resistance.
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**Figure legends**

**Figure 1. Obesity results in inflammation and changes in immune system cells in adipose tissue.** Lean adipose tissue has elevated fractions of anti-inflammatory M2-like ATMs and T\(_{\text{reg}}\), the local environment is dominated by anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-10, IL-4, IL-13). Long-term nutrient excess leads to apoptotic and necrotic death of adipocytes, as well as decreased vascularity. Upon obesity, the adipose tissue has a mixed M1/M2 phenotype of ATMs, more
CD8$^+$ T cells than CD4$^+$ Th1 cells, and fewer T$_{regs}$. The effector cells promote chronic inflammation through the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines (IL-1$\beta$, TNF-$\alpha$, IL-6, CCL2, CCL3, CXCL8). Graphics by Catherine Laplace.
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